

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
	10/802,600	03/16/2004	Masami Ogata	09812.0758-01	8920
	22852 7590 07/17/2007 FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW, GARRETT & DUNNER LLP			EXAMINER	
				CHEN, WENPENG	
		RK AVENUE, NW N, DC 20001-4413	•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			,	2624	
				MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
				07/17/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summers		10/802,600	OGATA ET AL.					
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
		Wenpeng Chen	2624					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address					
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Designs of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statutive to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statutive to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statutive to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statutive to provide the provided by the Office later than three months after the mailing the provided by the Office later than three months after the mailing the provided by the Office later than three months after the mailing the provided by the Office later than three months after the mailing than the provided by the Office later than three months after the mailing than the provided by the Office later than the provided by the Office later than three months after the mailing than the provided by the Office later than three months after the mailing than the provided by the Office later than three months after the mailing than the provided by the Office later than three months after the mailing than the provided by the Office later than three months after the mailing than the provided by the Office later than three months after the provided by the Office later than three months after the provided by the Office later than three months after the provided by the Office later than three months after the provided by the Office later than three months after the provided by the Office later than three months after the provided by the Office later than three months after the provided by the Office later than three months after the provided by the Office later than three months after the provided by the Office later than the provided by	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH: e, cause the application to become ABAN	TION.  be timely filed  From the mailing date of this communication.  DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status								
1)□	Responsive to communication(s) filed on							
2a)□		—· s action is non-final.						
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowa		, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Dispositi	on of Claims							
4)🖂	Claim(s) <u>31-116</u> is/are pending in the applicat	ion.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)[	Claim(s) is/are rejected.							
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.		·					
8)🖾	Claim(s) 31-116 are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.						
Applicati	on Papers							
9)□	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>16 March 2004</u> is/are: a)□ accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12)🛛	12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
	☑ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	•						
	1. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received in Appl	ication No. <u>09/434,565</u> .					
	$3.\square$ Copies of the certified copies of the prio	rity documents have been red	eived in this National Stage					
	application from the International Burea							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
Attachment	• •	·						
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Sum	mary (PTO-413) ail Date					
	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)		mal Patent Application					
Papei	No(s)/Mail Date <u>8/16/2004</u> .	6) 🔲 Other:						

Application/Control Number: 10/802,600

Art Unit: 2624

## **Drawings**

- 1. Figures 30-32 should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art-- because only that which is old is illustrated. See MPEP § 608.02(g). A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.
  - 2. The drawings are objected to because of the following informalities.
- -- In Fig. 13, there are two xmin(i,j). The Examiner believes that the one outputted from element 24D shall be changed to xmm(i,j).

Correction is required.

## Election/Restrictions

- 3. Claim 31-116 are pending.
- 4. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:
- Species 1 corresponding to Fig. 9 to correct both the brightness data and the color data with the gradation process; (the Examiner suggesting to include Claims 31-56, if Species 1 being elected);

- Species 2 corresponding to Fig. 10 to correct image data based on property of the color information around the pixel to be corrected in a gradation process; (the Examiner suggesting to include Claims 57-86, if Species 2 being elected);

- Species 3 corresponding to Fig. 27 to correct image data with dynamically selected resolution based on the pixel values of the image data in a gradation process; (the Examiner suggesting to include Claims 87-116, if Species 3 being elected).

The species are independent or distinct because claims to the different species recite the mutually exclusive characteristics of such species. In addition, these species are not obvious variants of each other based on the current record.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claim is generic.

There is an examination and search burden for these patentably distinct species due to their mutually exclusive characteristics. The species require a different field of search (e.g., searching different classes/subclasses or electronic resources, or employing different search queries); and/or the prior art applicable to one species would not likely be applicable to another species; and/or the species are likely to raise different non-prior art issues under 35 U.S.C. 101 and/or 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete <u>must</u> include (i) an election of a species to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected species, including any

Application/Control Number: 10/802,600

Art Unit: 2624

claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

The election of the species may be made with or without traverse. To preserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the election of species requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse. Traversal must be presented at the time of election in order to be considered timely. Failure to timely traverse the requirement will result in the loss of right to petition under 37 CFR 1.144. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable on the elected species.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the species unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other species.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141.

5. A telephone call was made to Mr. Michael Kelly on 7/10/07 to request an oral election to the above election requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Page 5

Art Unit: 2624

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

- 6. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).
- 7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Wenpeng Chen whose telephone number is 571-272-7431. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 am 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Bhavesh Mehta can be reached on 571-272-7453. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 571-273-8300 for regular communications and 571-273-8300 for After Final communications. TC 2600's customer service number is 571-272-2600.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 571-272-2600.

Wenpeng Chen Primary Examiner Art Unit 2624

July 10, 2007

Mensu